

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,300	04/23/2001	Yuefeng Liu	6502.0333	3107
22852	7590 02/16/2005	EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA	
LLP 901 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			<u> </u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 April 2001. 2a			T				
Examiner Plus and Banguyen 2665 — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 31° CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filed If the period for raply is pacified above, the maximum datalogy period will apply and will expire (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for raply is pacified above, the maximum datalogy period will apply and will expire 50% (a) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to provide the time throng more in ser her mailing eate of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce a may even adjustment. See 37° CPR 1.704(b). Status 1) Separation is FINAL. 2b) This action is fill the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.37 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 4) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4) Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2c) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 4. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 4. In Interview Summany (PTO		w ·	Application No.				
Plucingchau Ba Nguyen	Office Action Summany						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edutations of interracy be windled because the provided cover the provided of the provided cover the provided cover the provided of the provided cover the provided of the provided cover the provided	Office A	ACCION Summary					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of lines may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled Extensions of lines may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled Extensions of lines may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled Extension of the major be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in or event, however, may a reply be timely filled If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pended will apply and the ablationy minured in the provision of the communication of the provision of the communication of the communicatio							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions or time may be available under the provided and ref. 15(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after \$10, (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the provided in the provided after \$10, (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the provided in th							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 April 2001. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)③ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to pure to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No: 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statements) (PTO-1449 or PTO:58098) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some C None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some C None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 23 A	pril 2001.				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	3) Since this ap	oplication is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Copiected or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Interview							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) □ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims	S					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) □ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Graftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·	, , ,					
7)	·						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Brefrences Cited (PTO-992) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Attachment(s) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Application Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Attachment(s) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	9) The specifica	tion is objected to by the Examine	er.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. See The Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. See The Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. See The Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The Correction is required if the drawing (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The Correction is required in the drawing in the certified copies not received.	,	•		Examiner.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date		· ————					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 10 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
3) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	<u> </u>						
	73) 🔀 Information Disclosure Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) = <u>2 17</u> 22		алент Аррисалон (РТО-192)			

Application/Control Number: 09/839,300 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 3-6, 10-13, 18-21,23-26, 28-31, 33-36 are objected to because of the following informalities: the ":" should be changed to ---,--- or deleted because the notation ":" indicates a list of items or steps. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 4. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Arrow (6,175,917).

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 1, Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a method for communicating between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) configured from nodes (VPN units, fig.1) in a public network (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

receiving (receiving at VPN unit 115-fig.1) a packet from a source node (node 112-fig.1) in the first private network (LAN 110-fig.1), (see column 7, lines 20-25);

determining (by the VPN unit 115-fig.1) whether the packet (from node 112-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), (see column 7, lines 28-48); and

forwarding the packet to a destination node (remote client 140 of the VPN unit 145 -fig.1) in the second private network (of the VPN group, see step 22-fig.2) based on the determination, (see column 8, lines 18-19).

Regarding claim 2, Arrow further discloses that said forwarding comprising:

- (1) obtaining an address mapping corresponding to the destination node based on the determination; and
- (2) sending the packet to the destination node using the address mapping, the address mapping reflecting a relationship between (a) an internal address for the destination node for use in communicating among nodes in the second private network

and (b) an external address for the destination node suitable for communicating over the public network.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (1)).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 sending a received data packet to the VPN unit 145-fig.1 using the LUR, see column 7, line 46-column 8, line 20 (corresponding to (2)). The LUR reflects a relationship between an internal address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the Virtual Private Network for communication among the VPN units, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (a)), and an external address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 for communication over the public network, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (b)).

Regarding claim 3, Arrow discloses when the data packet sending from an end-station 112-fig.1 to a router 114-fig.1, the packet is encapsulated (corresponding to *adding* external address) for transmission to a destination node 140 in the VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the public network 100-fig.1 through the VPN unit 115, see column 7, lines 20-25.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 4, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 *encrypting the* packet in sending process from a source address 112-fig.1 to a destination address 140-fig.1 of VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the VPN, see column 7, lines 57-60.

Regarding claim 5, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 accessing the LUR of the transmitting packet from the Lookup Tables. This LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32, also see claim 2, (corresponding to accessing the address mapping based on a determination that the packet is destined for the second private network).

Regarding claim 6, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 accessing the Lookup Tables to obtain a LUR for a destination address in the transmitting packet. This LUR identifies the existence of a member of individual VPN, which corresponds to the destination 140-fig.1 via the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32, 50-52, also see claim 2, (corresponding to determining whether an address mapping exists for a destination address in the packet).

Regarding claim 7, Arrow discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A

Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a method for communicating between a first

private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including

VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) configured from nodes (VPN units, fig.1) in a public network (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

receiving (receiving at VPN unit 115-fig.1) a packet from a source node (node 112-fig.1) in the first private network (LAN 110-fig.1), (see column 7, lines 20-25);

determining (by the VPN unit 115-fig.1) whether the packet (from node 112-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), (see column 7, lines 28-48);

- (1) obtaining an address mapping corresponding to the destination node based on the determination; and
- (2) sending the packet to the destination node using the address mapping, the address mapping reflecting a relationship between (a) an internal address for the destination node for use in communicating among nodes in the second private network and (b) an external address for the destination node suitable for communicating over the public network.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (1))

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 sending a received data packet to the VPN unit 145-fig.1 using the LUR, see column 7, line 46-column 8, line 20 (corresponding to (2)). The LUR reflects a relationship between an internal address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the Virtual Private Network for

Art Unit: 2665

communication among the VPN units, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (a)), and an external address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 for communication over the public network, see column 11, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (b)).

Regarding claim 8, Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a method for communicating between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) that uses a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

receiving (receiving at VPN unit 145-fig.1) a packet from a source node (remote node 140-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group-fig.1), (see column 8, lines 21-26);

determining (by the VPN unit 145-fig.1) whether the packet (from the remote node 140-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), (see column 8, lines 28-32); and

forwarding the packet to a destination node (node 112 of the VPN unit 115-fig.1) in the second private network (of the VPN group, see step 320-fig.3) based on the determination, (see column 8, lines 28-52).

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 9, Arrow further discloses said forwarding comprising:

(1) obtaining an address mapping corresponding to a router node based on the

determination;

(2) sending the packet to the router node using the address mapping, wherein (a)

the router node forwards the packet to the destination node based on an internal

address in the packet for the destination node suitable for communicating among nodes

in the first private network.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet

is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for

transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the

LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, , see column 8, lines

26-32 (corresponding to (1)).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 sending the received data packet

from the node 140 to the VPN unit 115-fig.1 (a router node) using the LUR, see column

8, lines 21-32 (corresponding to (2)). The VPN unit 115-fig.1 (the router node) forwards

the packet received from the VPN unit 145-fig.1 based on an internal address reflected

from the LUR for the destination node 112-fig.1 in the LAN 110-fig.1 (first private

network) for communication among the nodes 111 & 113, see column 6, lines 8-18;

column 8, lines 42-52 (corresponding to (a)).

Page 8

Regarding claim 10, The limitation of this claim calls for a packet transmission from a source 140-fig.1 to destination 112-fig.1, which is a reversed process of claim 3. Therefore, a data packet sending from a remote station 140-fig.1 should be encapsulated (corresponding to adding the external address to the packet) for transmission over the public network 100-fig.1 to destination 112-fig.1 via the VPN unit 145, 115, respectively, see column 7, lines 20-25.

Regarding claim 11, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 *encrypting the packet* in sending process from a source address 140-fig.1 to a destination address 112-fig.1 of the VPN unit 115-fig.1 in the VPN, see column 7, lines 46-50; column 6, lines 61-67.

Regarding claim 12, Arrow discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 accessing the LUR of a transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintaining by the VPN unit. If the LUR of the transmitting packet does not reflect a destination address 112-fig.1, then the transmitting packet from the node 140-fig.1 is not destined for the VPN unit 115-fig.1 to reach the unit 112-fig.1, see claim 8, also see also column 8, lines 29-33 (corresponding to accessing the address mapping based on a determination that the packet is not destined for the second private network).

Regarding claim 13, Arrow discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 accessing the Lookup Tables to obtain the LUR for a destination address in the transmitting packet. This LUR identifies the existence of a member of individual VPN, which corresponds to a destination 112-fig.1 of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, see claim 9, also see column 8, lines 26-32, (corresponding to determining whether an address mapping exists for a destination address in the packet).

Regarding claim 14:

Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a method for communicating between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) that uses a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

receiving (receiving at VPN unit 145-fig.1) a packet from a source node (remote node 140-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group-fig.1), (see column 8, lines 21-26);

determining (by the VPN unit 145-fig.1) whether the packet (from the remote node 140-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), (see column 8, lines 28-32); and

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for the transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, (a router node), see column 8, lines 26-32 (corresponding to obtaining an address mapping corresponding to a router node based on the determination).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 sending a received data packet to the VPN unit 115-fig.1 (a router node) using the LUR, see column 8, lines 21-32 (corresponding to sending the packet to the router node using the address mapping). The VPN unit 115-fig.1 (the router node) forwards the packet received from the VPN unit 145-fig.1 based on an internal address reflected from the LUR for the destination node 112-fig.1 in the LAN 110-fig.1 (first private network) for communication among the nodes 111 & 113, see column 6, lines 8-18; column 8, lines 42-52 (corresponding to the router node forwards the packet to the destination node in the first private network based on an internal address in the packet for the destination node suitable for communicating among nodes in the first private network).

Regarding claim 15, Arrow discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, an apparatus (VPN unit 115-fig.1 & also see fig.4) for communicating between a first private network (a LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155 in fig.1,

col.2, lines 22-27) that uses a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

a memory (a RAM 402-fig.4) having program instructions (instructions), see column 8, lines 59-60; and

a processor (a processor 400-fig.4) responsive to (for executing) the program instructions (instructions stored in the RAM 402-fig.4) to receive a packet from a source node (a node 112-fig.1) in the first private network (a LAN 110-fig.1), determine whether the packet is destined for the second private network (the VPN group, fig.1) and forward the packet to a destination node (a remote client 140-fig.1) in the second private network based on the determination (a processor 400-fig.4 receives a transmitting packet from a source node 112-fig.1 in the LAN 110-fig.1. The processor 400-fig.4 determines whether the transmitting packet is destined for the VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the VPN group-fig.1, and forwards the transmitting packet to a destination node 140-fig.1 of the VPN unit 145 in the VPN group, see column 7, lines 20-48; see column 8, lines 18-19; and also see claim 1).

Regarding claim 16, Arrow discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, an apparatus (a VPN unit 145-fig.1 & also see fig.4) for communicating between a first private network (a LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155, fig.1, col.2,

Art Unit: 2665

lines 22-27) that uses a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

a memory (a RAM 402-fig.4) having program instructions (instructions), see column 8, lines 59-60; and

a processor (a processor 400-fig.4) responsive to (for executing) the program instructions (instructions stored in the RAM 402-fig.4) to receive a packet from a source node (a remote client 140-fig.1) in the second private network (the VPN group, fig.1), determine whether the packet is destined for the second private network (the VPN group, fig.1), and forward the packet to a destination node (node 112-fig.1) in the first private network (LAN 110) based on the determination (a processor 400-fig.4 receives a transmitting packet from a source node 140-fig.1 of the VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the VPN group-fig.1. The processor 400-fig.4 determines whether the transmitting packet is destined for the VPN unit 115-fig.1 in the VPN group-fig.1, and forward the transmitting packet to a destination node 112-fig.1 of the VPN unit 115 in the VPN group, see column 8, lines 24-51. see column 6, lines 8-14, and also see claim 8).

Regarding claim 17, Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a computer-readable medium (a RAM 400-fig.4) containing instructions for performing a method for communicating between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) configured

Page 14

Art Unit: 2665

from nodes (VPN units, fig.1) in a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

receiving (receiving at VPN unit 115-fig.1) a packet from a source node (node 112-fig.1) in the first private network (LAN 110-fig.1), see column 7, lines 20-25;

determining (by the VPN unit 115-fig.1) whether the packet (from node 112-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), see column 7, lines 28-48; and

- (1) obtaining an address mapping corresponding to the destination node based on the determination; and
- (2) sending the packet to the destination node using the address mapping, the address mapping reflecting a relationship between (a) an internal address for the destination node for use in communicating among nodes in the second private network and (b) an external address for the destination node suitable for communicating over the public network.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (1))

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 sending a received data packet to the VPN unit 145-fig.1 using the LUR, see column 7, line 46-column 8, line 20 (corresponding to (2)). The LUR reflects a relationship between an internal address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the Virtual Private Network for communication among the VPN units, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (a)), and an external address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 for communication over the public network, see column 11, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (b)).

Regarding claim 18, Arrow discloses when the data packet sending from an end-station 112-fig.1 to a router 114-fig.1, the packet is encapsulated (corresponding to adding external address) for transmission to a destination node 140 in the VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the public network 100-fig.1 through the VPN unit 115, see column 7, lines 20-25

Regarding claim 19, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 *encrypting the packet* in sending process from a source address 112-fig.1 to a destination address 140-fig.1 of VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the VPN, see column 7, line 46-column 8, line 20 & specific in column 7, lines 57-60; also see column 6, lines 61-67.

Regarding claim 20, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 accessing the LUR of the transmitting packet from the Lookup Tables. This LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32, also see claim 17, (corresponding to accessing the address mapping based on a determination that the packet is destined for the second private network).

Regarding claim 21 Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 accessing the Lookup Tables to obtain a LUR for a destination address in the transmitting packet. This LUR identifies the existence of a member of individual VPN, which corresponds to the destination 140-fig.1 via the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32, 50-52, also see claim 17, (corresponding to determining whether an address mapping exists for a destination address in the packet).

Regarding claim 22, Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a computer-readable medium (a RAM 400-fig.4) containing instructions for performing a method for communicating between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) configured from nodes (VPN units, fig.1) in a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

receiving (receiving at VPN unit 145-fig.1) a packet from a source node (remote node 140-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group-fig.1), see column 8, lines 21-26;

determining (by the VPN unit 145-fig.1) whether the packet (from the remote node 140-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), see column 8, lines 28-32; and

- (1) obtaining an address mapping corresponding to a router node based on the determination;
- (2) sending the packet to the router node using the address mapping, wherein (a) the router node forwards the packet to a destination node in the first private network based on an internal address in the packet for the destination node suitable for communicating among nodes in the first private network.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, , see column 8, lines 26-32 (corresponding to (1)).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 sending the received data packet from the node 140 to the VPN unit 115-fig.1 (a router node) using the LUR, see column 8, lines 21-32 (corresponding to (2)). The VPN unit 115-fig.1 (the router node) forwards the packet received from the VPN unit 145-fig.1 based on an internal address reflected

from the LUR for the destination node 112-fig.1 in the LAN 110-fig.1 (*first private network*) for communication among the nodes 111 & 113, see column 6, lines 8-18; column 8, lines 42-52 (corresponding to (a)).

Regarding claim 23, The limitation of this claim calls for a packet transmission from a source 140-fig.1 to destination 112-fig.1, which is a reversed process of claim 3. Therefore, a data packet sending from a remote station 140-fig.1 should be encapsulated (corresponding to adding the external address to the packet) for transmission over the public network 100-fig.1 to destination 112-fig.1 via the VPN unit 145, 115, respectively, see column 7, lines 20-25.

Regarding claim 24, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 *encrypting the packet* in sending process from a source address 140-fig.1 to a destination address 112-fig.1 of the VPN unit 115-fig.1 in the VPN, see column 7, lines 46-50; column 6, lines 61-67.

Regarding claim 25, Arrow discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 accessing the LUR of a transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintaining by the VPN unit. If the LUR of the transmitting packet does not reflect a destination address 112-fig.1, then the transmitting packet from the node 140-fig.1 is not destined for the VPN unit 115-fig.1 to

reach the unit 112-fig.1, see also column 8, lines 29-33, and also see claim 22, (corresponding to accessing the address mapping based on a determination that the packet is not destined for the second private network).

Regarding claim 26, Arrow discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 accessing the Lookup Tables to obtain the LUR for a destination address in the transmitting packet. This LUR identifies the existence of a member of individual VPN, which corresponds to a destination 112-fig.1 of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, see claim 9, also see column 8, lines 26-32, also see claim 22, (corresponding to determining whether an address mapping exists for a destination address in the packet).

Regarding claim 27, Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a method for communicating between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) configured from nodes (VPN units, fig.1) in a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

means for receiving (VPN unit 115-fig.1) a packet from a source node (node 112-fig.1) in the first private network (LAN 110-fig.1), see column 7, lines 20-25;

means for determining (by the VPN unit 115-fig.1) whether the packet (from node 112-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), see column 7, lines 28-48;

- (1) means for obtaining an address mapping corresponding to the destination node based on the determination; and
- (2) means for sending the packet to the destination node using the address mapping, the address mapping reflecting a relationship between (a) an internal address for the destination node for use in communicating among nodes in the second private network and (b) an external address for the destination node suitable for communicating over the public network infrastructure.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (1)).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 sending a received data packet to the VPN unit 145-fig.1 using the LUR, see column 7, line 46-column 8, line 20 (corresponding to (2)). The LUR reflects a relationship between an internal address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the Virtual Private Network for communication among the VPN units, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (a)),

and an external address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 for communication over the public network, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (b)).

Regarding claim 28, Arrow discloses when the data packet sending from an end-station 112-fig.1 to a router 114-fig.1, the packet is encapsulated (corresponding to adding external address) for transmission to a destination node 140 in the VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the public network 100-fig.1 through the VPN unit 115, see column 7, lines 20-25.

Regarding claim 29, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 *encrypting the packet* in sending process from a source address 112-fig.1 to a destination address 140-fig.1 of VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the VPN, see column 7, line 46-column 8, line 20 & in specific column 7, lines 57-60.

Regarding claim 30, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 accessing the LUR of the transmitting packet from the Lookup Tables. This LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32, also see claim 27, (corresponding to means for accessing the address mapping based on a determination that the packet is destined for the second private network).

Regarding claim 31, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 accessing the Lookup Tables to obtain a LUR for a destination address in the transmitting packet. This LUR identifies the existence of a member of individual VPN, which corresponds to the destination 140-fig.1 via the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32, 50-52, also see claim 27, (corresponding to determining whether an address mapping exists for a destination address in the packet).

Regarding claim 32:

Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, an apparatus (VPN unit 145-fig.1) for communicating between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) configured from nodes (VPN units, fig.1) in a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

means for receiving (VPN unit 145-fig.1) a packet from a source node (remote node 140-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group-fig.1), see column 8, lines 21-26;

means for determining (by the VPN unit 145-fig.1) whether the packet (from the remote node 140-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), see column 8, lines 28-32; and

(1) means for obtaining an address mapping corresponding to a router node based on the determination;

(2) means for sending the packet to the router node using the address mapping,
(a) wherein the router node forwards the packet to a destination node in the first private
network based on an internal address in the packet for the destination node suitable for
communicating among nodes in the first private network.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, , see column 8, lines 26-32 (corresponding to (1)).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 sending the received data packet from the node 140 to the VPN unit 115-fig.1 (*a router node*) using the LUR, see column 8, lines 21-32 (corresponding to (2)). The VPN unit 115-fig.1 (*the router node*) forwards the packet received from the VPN unit 145-fig.1 based on an internal address reflected from the LUR for the destination node 112-fig.1 in the LAN 110-fig.1 (*first private network*) for communication among the nodes 111 & 113, see column 6, lines 8-18; column 8, lines 42-52 (corresponding to (a)).

Regarding claim 33, The limitation of this claim calls for a packet transmission from a source 140-fig.1 to destination 112-fig.1, which is a reversed process of claim 27.

Therefore, a data packet sending from a remote station 140-fig.1 should be encapsulated (corresponding to *adding the external address to the packet*) for transmission over the public network 100-fig.1 to destination 112-fig.1 via the VPN unit 145, 115, respectively, see column 7, lines 20-25.

Regarding claim 34, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 *encrypting the* packet in sending process from a source address 140-fig.1 to a destination address 112-fig.1 of the VPN unit 115-fig.1 in the VPN, see column 7, lines 46-50; column 6, lines 61-67.

Regarding claim 35, Arrow discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 accessing the LUR of a transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintaining by the VPN unit. If the LUR of the transmitting packet does not reflect a destination address 112-fig.1, then the transmitting packet from the node 140-fig.1 is not destined for the VPN unit 115-fig.1 to reach the unit 112-fig.1, see claim 8, also see also column 8, lines 29-33 (corresponding to accessing the address mapping based on a determination that the packet is not destined for the second private network).

Regarding claim 36, Arrow discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 accessing the Lookup

Tables to obtain the LUR for a destination address in the transmitting packet. This LUR

identifies the existence of a member of individual VPN, which corresponds to a destination 112-fig.1 of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, see claim 9, also see column 8, lines 26-32, (corresponding to determining whether an address mapping exists for a destination address in the packet).

Page 25

Regarding claim 37, Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a *method for communicating* between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) configured from nodes (VPN units, fig.1) in a public network (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

receiving, at VPN unit 115-fig.1, a first packet from a source node (node 112-fig.1) in the first private network (LAN 110-fig.1), see column 7, lines 20-25, wherein the router node (VPN unit 115-fig.1) facilitates connection between the first private network (the LAN 110-fig.1) and the second private network (the VPN group-fig.1)

determining (by the VPN unit 115-fig.1) whether the first packet (from node 112-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (VPN unit 145 in the VPN group, fig.1), see column 7, lines 28-48;

(1) obtaining an address mapping corresponding to the destination node based on the determination; and

(2) sending the packet to the destination node using the address mapping, the address mapping reflecting a relationship between (2a) an internal address for the destination node for use in communicating among nodes in the second private network and (2b) an external address for the destination node suitable for communicating over the public infrastructure.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (1)).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 sending a received data packet to the VPN unit 145-fig.1 using the LUR, see column 7, line 46-column 8, line 20 (corresponding to (2)). The LUR reflects a relationship between an internal address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the Virtual Private Network for communication among the VPN units, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (a)), and an external address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 for communication over the public network, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (b)).

receiving (receiving at VPN unit 145-fig.1) a second packet from a source node (remote node 140-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group-fig.1), see column 8, lines 21-26;

determining (by the VPN unit 145-fig.1) whether the second packet (from the remote node 140-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (VPN unit 115 in the VPN group, fig.1), see column 8, lines 28-32; and

- (3) obtaining an address mapping corresponding to the router node based on the determination that the second packet is not destined for the second private network; and
- (4) sending the packet to the router node using the address mapping corresponding to the router node, wherein (4a) the router node forwards the packet to a destination node in the first private network based on an internal address in the second packet for the destination node suitable for communicating among nodes in the first private network.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, see column 8, lines 26-33 (corresponding to (3)).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 sending the received data packet from the node 140 to the VPN unit 115-fig.1 (*a router node*) using the LUR, see column 8, lines 21-32 (corresponding to (4)). The VPN unit 115-fig.1 (*the router node*) forwards the packet received from the VPN unit 145-fig.1 based on an internal address reflected from the LUR for the destination node 112-fig.1 in the LAN 110-fig.1 (*first private*

Art Unit: 2665

network) for communication among the nodes 111 & 113, see column 6, lines 8-18;

column 8, lines 21-52 (corresponding to (4a)).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phuongchau Ba Nguyen whose telephone number is

571-272-3148. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10:00AM-

3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuongchau Ba Nguyen

Page 28

Examiner

Art Unit 2665